PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Docket No: A8535

Joseph R. LAKOWICZ Confirmation No.: 4325

Appln. No.: 10/073,625 Group Art Unit: 1634

Filed: February 11, 2002 Examiner: Joyce Tung

For: RADIATIVE DECAY ENGINEERING

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on June 4, 2004:

REMARKS

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was provided to the undersigned after the interview held on June 4, 2004.

During the interview, the following was discussed:

- 1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: none.
- 2. Identification of claims discussed: all pending.
- 3. Identification of art discussed: Schalkhammer et al. (USSN 5,866,433); Natan et al. (USSN 6,149,868).
 - 4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: none.
 - 5. Brief Identification of principal arguments:
- (a) 35 U.S.C. §112, written description rejection: Applicants showed the Examiner specific passages in the specification (the same as those discussed in the Amendment Under

A8535

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

U.S. Appln. No. 10/073,625

37 C.F.R. §1.116 filed March 10, 2004) that supported the amendment of the claims to recite a

negative limitation.

35 U.S.C. §103 rejection: Applicants discussed with the Examiner differences (b)

between the method for biomolecule detection used in Natan et al. (detection of change in

vibrational spectral intensities) and the method for biomolecule detection in the present

invention (detection of change in fluorescence), and differences in the components of the system

of Natan et al. (requirement for three different categories of components) and the components

of the system of the present invention (need for only two different categories of components).

6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: none

7. Results of Interview: the Examiner agreed that Applicant's arguments appeared to

overcome the §112 and §103 rejections.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise,

Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency

of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to

Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 44,765

Drew Hissong

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373 CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: June 10, 2004

2